

APPROVED – August 23, 2021



TOWN OF MEDWAY

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

MASTER PLAN COMMITTEE

Medway Town Hall
155 Village Street - Medway, MA 02053
Phone (508) 533-3291 Fax (508) 321-4987
masterplancommittee@townofmedway.org

Monday, July 26, 2021 @ 6:30 p.m.
Medway Middle School, Presentation Room - 45 Holliston Street

Members Present in Meeting Room: Becky Atwood, Jessica Chabot, Susan Dietrich, John Foresto, Ellen Hillery, Denise Legee, Cassandra McKenzie, Sarah Raposa, Linda Reynolds, Debi Rossi, Faina Shapiro, Jack Wolfe.

Members Absent with Notice: Eric Arbeene, Carey Bergeron, Tara Kripowicz, and Alex Siekierski.

Member Participating Remotely: Siri Krishna Khalsa.

Master Plan Committee Staff Present in Meeting Room: Susy Affleck-Childs, Planning and Economic Development Coordinator; Jeanette Galliardt, Recording Secretary.

Others Present: Jenn Goldson, JM Goldson, LLC

Call to Order

At 6:33 PM Ms. Chabot called the meeting to order. Members present identified themselves for the viewing audience. Four members were absent; Ms. Khalsa was participating remotely via Zoom. **(See attached remote participation form.)**

JM Goldson Presentation on Comments on Phase 1 Existing Conditions Report

The Committee reviewed a presentation entitled "Medway Master Plan Committee Meeting, 072621". (See Attached.)

Ms. Goldson spoke briefly about the comments received thus far on the Draft Existing Conditions Report. She asked if anyone on the Committee needed more time, adding that additional comments

Committee members and affiliated groups

Jessica Chabot, Planning & Economic Development Board – Chair
Cassandra McKenzie, Economic Development Committee – Vice Chair
Eric Arbeene, At-Large Member | Becky Atwood, Cultural Council | Carey Bergeron, Energy & Sustainability Committee
Susan Dietrich, School Committee | John Foresto, Select Board | Ellen Hillery, Finance Committee | Siri Krishna Khalsa, Council on Aging
Tara Kripowicz, Conservation Commission | Denise Legee, Open Space Committee | Sarah Raposa, At-Large Member | Linda Reynolds, Lions Club
Debi Rossi, Board of Parks Commissioners | Faina Shapiro, Medway Business Council | Alex Siekierski, Design Review Committee
Jack Wolfe, Affordable Housing Trust & Committee

should be submitted within the next week. Revisions to the report will follow. All comments received thus far have been reviewed by a number of individuals.

Ms. Goldson noted that there is naturally an eagerness to get started on various implementation activities, cautioning that the comments will help define what the process might entail.

Continuing, Ms. Goldson announced that by August 26th, a revised Existing Conditions Report will be submitted for public feedback as well as feedback from boards, committees, and commissions. Public comments will be due on October 1.

At this time, Ms. Goldson reviewed the number and type of comments submitted by Master Plan Committee members and Planning and Economic Department staff. Comments often were requesting additional clarification, stylistic suggestions, requests for more information such as comparisons to other communities, offering local knowledge, and philosophic questions or observations. Key definitions will be expanded to improve understanding, i.e., Nodal development, Green Streets, Ecosystem Services, and Living Infrastructure.

Next she reviewed some clarifications of items in the report such as defining types of farmland, either current or historical, new zoning amendments voted at Town Meeting, and emphasizing need for housing options to include senior housing options.

Additional research requests: comparison of Medway data to nearby communities, participation from local businesses, comprehensive zoning review (separate project), Chapter 61A clarification, and schools/ education which is part of public facilities and services. Ms. Goldson noted that some of these may become larger topics when the Committee works on setting specific goals.

Substantive comments: It was noted that the purpose of the report is to document existing conditions, not to include recommendations yet. Other comments: exploring Native American History more; acknowledgement of some concerns about environmental aspects of artificial turf; cemeteries will be considered open space unless there is a strong desire to categorize them otherwise. Brief discussion followed during which cemeteries could be carved out of open space as a subsection so not to inflate the open space percentage. Committee members also expressed concern that things included in the Master Plan need to be worded carefully to indicate action items vs. historical or anecdotal comments.

Discussion followed on how proposed changes will be reflected in the revised report. Ms. Goldson noted that they will submit both redlined and clean versions so people can go right to the updated language for review.

At this time, Ms. Goldson reviewed the Phase II Schedule and community outreach events that have been held. A number of events will be held before the next Master Plan Committee meeting on August 23. Included in tonight's meeting materials is a list of past and upcoming events along with names of Committee members working at the table.

Update on Phase 2 Community Engagement Activities

Responding to a question from Mr. Wolfe on the number of surveys received, Ms. Goldson responded that although the absolute number of participants is important, it is even more important to solicit participation from a representative sample of the community, which is why the team collects demographic data of participants and compares it to the demographics of the town. Ultimately, the goal of the survey, which is not meant to be a scientifically significant, is to get as many community members involved in the planning process as possible because not everyone will be able to or want to come out to in-person meetings or events. The survey provides another way for people to get involved in the plan so that the team can hear from more community members than they otherwise would. Currently 281 surveys have been completed. She added that three Meeting in a Box events were held,

78 points on the crowd map and 5 pop-up opportunities were utilized. Regarding the demographics of survey participants, there is currently more participation from older (over 35 years of age) individuals vs. younger people. Ms. Goldson noted that children can be accounted for appropriately when the team reports the participation levels adding that they would like more responses from those of high school or college age.

The handout featuring Survey Outreach and the list of Pop-ups at Community Events was briefly reviewed. **(See Attached.)** Other ideas for outreach were explored including an insert for water bills, distribution of flyers at Choate Park, distribute informational links through the schools and emails to Town employees, interviews on Medway Cable Access, and posting on Medway Cable bulletin board. Discussion followed during which it was suggested to distribute flyers when transfer station stickers are renewed, utilizing software to issue a text blast to reach younger people, other ways to engage young people such as being entered into a gift card drawing when survey is completed. Mr. Wolfe suggested a shorter survey for high school students as the current one is long and takes a while to complete. Discussion continued. Ms. Goldson will coordinate these ideas with Ms. Affleck-Childs. Ms. Goldson is willing to do an interview for cable TV but expressed concern that residents might be more interested in one featuring a Medway resident.

Discussion followed on how Committee members can continue to utilize the Meeting in a Box at meeting of their respective groups, or some other way to facilitate information. Brief discussion followed. It was noted that participants in the MIB presentation should be encouraged to hold their own informal groups to share information.

Ms. Affleck-Childs is coordinating flyer distribution with local clergy and their respective churches. Flyers can also be distributed through civic and fraternal organizations. A flyer has been created with a QR code for scanning by cell phones. Goldson staff will create and maintain a spreadsheet of businesses where flyers have been distributed to avoid duplication.

Next Steps: Ms. Goldson asked for volunteers for several pop-up events that are coming up. She reiterated that Committee members should hold a Meeting-in-a-Box (MIB) event if they have not already done so as well as sharing information and survey links on their personal Facebook or other social media. She also encouraged Committee members to ask all participants of their MIB events to hold their own MIB so that we can encourage more participation.

Establish and appoint a subcommittee to work on logistics for the October 3, 2021

Community Forum

At this time, it was noted that this subcommittee had been proposed at the June Committee meeting, yielding three volunteers to coordinate the second Community Forum scheduled for October. These volunteers must be formally appointed to the subcommittee.

Ms. Raposa made a motion to appoint Ms. Raposa, Ms. Rossi, and Ms. Shapiro to the Community Forum Subcommittee as discussed; Ms. Dietrich seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 10-0-1 (10-0-0 from those present in the meeting room; 0-0-1 Ms. Khalsa abstained due to technical issues via Zoom)

Discuss Remote Meeting Policy

Ms. Affleck-Childs provided an update on the Select Board's meeting policy regarding remote participation. The Select Board is encouraging groups to meet in person and use remote participation only when people are out of town or other logistical issue, and not just for convenience. If Committee members need to attend via Zoom or conference phone, they should submit the Remote Participation Form to her before the meeting. Discussion followed.

Review of Meeting Minutes – June 28, 2021 meeting

The Committee reviewed draft minutes from June 28, 2021.

After discussion, Ms. Rossi made a motion to approve the minutes of June 28, 2021 as amended; Mr. Wolfe seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 10-0-1 (10-0-0 from those present in the meeting room; 1-0-0 Ms. Khalsa indicated her approval via Zoom – thumbs up)

Review of correspondence

The Committee reviewed email correspondence from Mr. David Corbett, dated July 16, 2021. (See Attached)

Ms. Chabot read aloud the email from Mr. David Corbett which spoke to the need to revitalize Route 109, noting that this is a comment that has come up a lot both in the surveys and to the Planning and Economic Development Board. She cautioned that the project before the Committee is to update the Master Plan and not to make recommendations on any particular issue. The updated Master Plan is intended to provide guidelines and community goals moving forward. It is not to serve as a mechanism to supervise property owners on how to handle their own property or to serve as a blueprint to renovate any particular area. Discussion followed. Ms. Chabot thanked Mr. Corbett for his email.

Member Comments and Questions

There were no additional comments other than those already stated.

Public Comments

Via Zoom Ms. Janine Clifford (Lincoln Street) wondered about Site Plan Review commenting that there are some Route 109 business owners who will be more inclined to work with the Committee on the Master Plan. Is there any intention for the Committee to engage with that population relative to parcels along Route 109? Ms. Goldson responded that it would be inappropriate for a member of the Committee to contact business owners regarding a specific action or parcel that was currently under review, but a member of the public could draw attention to a particular meeting at any time.

At this time, Ms. Dietrich reported that the Town has established a new Committee relative to Inclusion, Diversity and Equity, noting that its task is gather feedback through the community, hold community forums, and so on. She thanked Ms. Goldson and her staff for all their hard work, making this process look easy.

Other Business as May Come Before the Committee


None at this time.

Adjourn

At 8:22 PM Ms. Raposa made a motion to adjourn; Ms. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 11-0-0 (10-0-0 from those present in the meeting room; 1-0-0 Ms. Khalsa indicated her approval via Zoom).

*Respectfully submitted,
Jeanette Galliardt
Recording Secretary*

*Reviewed and edited by,
Susan E. Affleck-Childs
Planning and Economic Development Coordinator*



Town of Medway

Remote Participation Request

I, Siri Krishna Khalsa (print name), hereby request to participate remotely at the meeting of the Medway Master Plan (Board/Committee/Commission) to be held on July 26, 2021 (date). I certify to the Chair that my absence is the result of one or more of the following factors which make my physical presence unreasonably difficult:

- ☐ (1) Personal Illness or Disability ☐ (2) A Family or Other Emergency
☐ (3) Military Service ☐ (4) Geographic Distance (Employment / Board Business)

Explanation: Concern for viral transmission of variants of Covid 19. I have a new grandchild (11 days old) that I am going to visit & help daily.

During the meeting, I will be at the following location:

12 Candlewood Drive, Medway
Address

508-533-7491
Phone Number

Sirius Krishna K. Khalsa
Signature of Member

7/23/21
Date

Please sign and return to Chair

Request received by JESSICA CHABOT
Chair (please print)

7/23/21
Date

Method of Participation ZOOM (e.g. speakerphone)

Request Approved YES Request Denied*

[Signature]
Signature of Chair

7/23/21
Date

Signed form to be appended to the meeting minutes.

***All Denied Requests are Final and Not Appealable.**



MEDWAY MASTER PLAN COMMITTEE MEETING

7/26/21

PRESENTATION BY

JENN GOLDSON, AICP


JM GOLDSON LLC

PRESENTATION TOPICS


1. Review MPC Member Comments on Phase I Draft Existing Conditions Report
2. Review Status of Phase II Community Engagement Activities



THE PLANNING PROCESS



- Phase I: trends and issues/information
- Phase II: vision and goals
- Phase III: strategies



JENN'S MP TREE



PHASE I: REVIEW EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT


EC REPORT REVIEW PROCESS



1. MPC Meeting on July 26th – we will discuss MPC comments and requested revisions
2. By August 26th, we will submit a revised EC Report to post and seek public feedback including feedback of board, commissions, and members of the public
3. Public comments will be due on October 1st


EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT MPC MEMBER COMMENTS OVERVIEW

- 8 MPC members submitted comments; additional members reviewed with no comments
- PED staff also submitted comments
- 304 comments (some duplicative)
- We merged into a single comment matrix and our team reviewed all comments



TYPES OF COMMENTS

- Clarification/definitions requested
- Some typos/stylistic suggestions
- More information/research including adding comparisons to other towns for select data
- Offering local knowledge/observations/corrections
- Substantive and philosophical questions/observations



ADD SOME KEY DEFINITIONS

- Nodal development
- Green Streets
- Ecosystem Systems
- Living Infrastructure



Auto-oriented strip development



Walkable, multi-modal nodal development

ADD SOME KEY DEFINITIONS

- Nodal development
- Green Streets
- Ecosystem Systems
- Living Infrastructure

1.3 Benefits of Green Streets

Green Streets Provide Environmental, Social and Economic Benefits

Green streets are an investment in your community because good designs can provide many additional benefits beyond stormwater management. The design of streets and public rights-of-way can affect the public's perception of a community, influence the behavior of residents and visitors, and shape development decisions, while also helping to create a sense of place. The use of green streets can provide numerous benefits, such as:

- Improved water quality
- Enhanced community resilience
- Increased groundwater recharge
- Enhanced wildlife habitat
- Improved air quality
- Reduced urban heat island effects

- Increased pedestrian safety and traffic calming
- Enhanced well-being of individuals
- Increased sense of community
- Increased property values
- Reduced water treatment costs
- Reduced infrastructure costs
- Reduced property damage due to flooding

These benefits are grouped and described in further detail on the following pages.



Sketch of green street components such as a permeable pavement crosswalk, curb bump-outs and bioretention applied to a local road.

Low Impact Development Center

ADD SOME KEY DEFINITIONS

- Nodal development
- Green Streets
- Ecosystem Services
- Living Infrastructure

Ecosystem Services are commonly defined as the many benefits people and other species obtain from natural resources.

Living infrastructure is all the interconnected natural ecosystems within a municipality. This includes the rivers, forests, wetlands, meadows, soils, and the species of plants, animals, microorganisms and other biodiversity.




CLARIFICATIONS

- MOE – note small sample sizes and potential impact on analysis
- Farmland of Unique importance is primarily for Cranberry Bogs
- Include new zoning amendments from recent TM
- Clarify need for housing options including senior housing options




ADDITIONAL RESEARCH REQUESTS

- Add comparison communities for key indicators
- Request participation from local businesses
- C.61 A – more clarification for instances when only on portion of property
- Comprehensive zoning review – a separate project (outside the scope of the Master Plan)
- Schools/education – not a separate chapter – it's part of public facilities and services



SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS

- Recommendations – the scope of this report is to document existing conditions (not making recommendations yet)
- Emphasize Native American history more
- Acknowledge environmental impacts of artificial turf
- We call out cemeteries as open space – do people use the cemeteries for passive recreation?





PHASE II STATUS OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

PHASE II SCHEDULE

Launch summer engagement tools: Meeting in a Box, Community Survey, and webmap

7/26: MPC meeting to review EC report and check-in on engagement process

JMG staff run 3 tabling events + 3 targeted meetings (Senior Center, Housing Authority, etc.)

MPC members run additional tabling events and host MIBs

8/23: MPC meeting to check-in on process and last push for summer engagement tools

July-Aug

Outreach for Community Forum #2

Close engagement tools and analyze community input to create draft vision and goals statements

9/27: MPC meeting to review summer engagement results

Sun 10/3: Community Forum #2 – public feedback on draft vision and goals

Compile community forum results

Sept-Oct

Prepare draft vision and goals for MPC review

11/22: Master Plan Committee (MPC) meeting to review draft vision and goals

Prepare full draft vision and goals report


12/20: MPC meeting to review draft vision and goals report

Present draft vision and goals report to PEDB (date to be determined)

Nov-Dec

STATUS OF ENGAGEMENT TO DATE

- Survey – 281 responses total
- Meeting in a Box – 3 meetings held as of July 26
- Crowdmap – 78 points/ideas
- Pop-up events – 5 so far (6 more already with volunteers)



ENGAGEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS

PHASE II – Survey Responses

- Vast majority of responses are from people 35 or older (92%), while that age group makes up just about 57 percent of Medway residents.
- 70 percent of responses are from women.
- 95 percent of responses are from homeowners, while about 10 percent of Medway households are renter-occupied
- Less than 3 percent are not residents of Medway.
- About 94 percent of responses are from people who identify as White, while about 91 percent of Medway residents identify as White alone.

SURVEY OUTREACH

- See matrix - done by town staff (Susy and Sandy)
- Newspaper outreach (Sandy):
 - *Milford Daily News*
 - *Country Gazette*
 - *Millis/Medway monthly*

MP Community Survey - Outreach	
	DATE
Posted to TOM Facebook - Sandy	7/9/21
Included in Community Bulletin - Sandy	
Worked with Medway Public Schools to include on social media and special email from Dr. Pires - Sandy	7/22/21
Emailed to MP Committee and PEDB members - Susy (Asked them to forward for friends, etc.)	7/9/21
Emailed to MP e-mail list (attendees at community forum and focus group participants) - Susy	7/9/21
Emailed to Julie Dennehy & Faina Shapiro for MBC Facebook - Susy	7/14/21
Emailed to Linda Reynolds for Lions Club communications - Susy	7/14/21
Emailed to Rev. Carl Schultz for Medway Community Church enewsletter - Susy	7/12/21
Emailed to Deb Rossi to distribute to sports groups and Parks & Rec- Susy	7/14/21
Emailed to Larry Ellsworth for Town Democratic Committee Facebook - Susy	7/14/21
Emailed to Leanne Harris for Town Republican Committee Facebook - Susy	7/14/21
Emailed to Charlie Ross to post to Open Space and Trail Club Facebook pages - Susy	7/14/21
Posted to Friends of Medway Facebook - Jess	7/15/21
Emailed to Margaret Perkins for Library Facebook - Susy	7/14/21
Provided hard copies to Medway Housing Authority - Susy	7/7/21
Provided hard copies to Library and Senior Center - Morgan	7/13/21
Supplied nice posters at Town Hall, Library and Senior Center - Sandy	7/13/21
Posted flyer on bulletin boards at Muffin House and Starbucks - Morgan	7/13/02
Emailed to Marty Dietrich for Medway Marches Facebook - Susy	7/15/21
Emailed to Gail Hachenberg and Jordan Warnick for Medway Cultural Council Facebook - Susy	7/15/21
Emailed Susan Dietrich for Medway Village Church and Food Pantry and talked with Esther - Susy	7/15 & 7/20/21
Emailed Jeanne Johnson for Christ Episcopal Church and talked with Terry Hoffman - Susy	7/20/21
Emailed Rich Eustis for St. Josephs church - Susy	7/21/21
Emailed Paul Galante at Medway Food Pantry - Susy	7/26/21

POP-UP EVENTS

POP-UP at COMMUNITY EVENTS	
Table at Movies in the Park - Jenn Goldson and Sarah Raposa	7/7/21
Table at Farmers Market - Sarah Raposa and Linda Reynolds	7/15/21
Table at Concerts in the Park - Linda Reynolds and Debi Rossi	7/19/21
Table at Movies in the Park - Jess Chabot and Tara Kripowicz	7/21/21
Table at Farmers Market - Sarah Raposa and Cassandra McKenzie	7/22/21
Table at Farmers Market - Jenn Goldson and Tara Kripowicz	7/29/21
Table at Concert in the Park - Jess Chabot and Barry Fradkin	8/2/21
Table at Movies in the Park - Cassandra McKenzie and Denise Legee	8/4/21
Table at Farmers Market - Becky Atwood and Siri Krishna Khalsa	8/5/21
Table at Concerts in the Park - ???	8/9/21
Table at Movies in the Park - ???	8/11/21
Table at Farmers Market - Becky Atwood and Ellen Hillery	8/12/21
Table at Farmers Market - Jamie Shalvey and ????	8/19/21
Table at Farmers Market - ???	8/26/21

OTHER IDEAS FOR OUTREACH

- Tax bill insert
- Distribute survey flyers at Choate
- Schools sending out survey link
- Interview on Medway Cable Access
- Medway Cable bulletin board
- Email town employees
- Others?

NEXT STEPS AND HOW YOU CAN HELP

- Sign-up for pop-up table at a community event – open dates:
 - Wed , 7/28 (movie) – 2 slots
 - Mon, 8/9 (concert) – 2 slots
 - Wed, 8/11 (movie) – 2 slots
 - Thurs, 8/19 (farmer's market) – 1 slot
- Hold a Meeting-in-a-Box
- Spread the word on your own social media accounts about the survey and crowd mapping platform

THANK YOU!

QUESTIONS?



J^M_C

Addendum to Select Board Remote Participation Policy

The Select Board recognizes the June 16, 2021 enactment of Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021, an act extending certain Covid-19 measures adopted during the State of Emergency, under which public bodies retain the option of holding open meetings and hearings remotely until April 1, 2022.

The Board acknowledges there are instances when a remote meeting may be more expedient or preferable for the purpose of facilitating participation by the public and professional consultants. In these instances, the board or committee chair may choose to hold a remote meeting.

The Board discourages remote board and committee meetings held simply as a matter of convenience, as it believes in person meetings engender more cohesive dialogue and better facilitates communication. The Board urges committees holding remote meetings to have a quorum of the board or committee physically present for these meetings.

Adopted: 7/12/21

Expires: 4/1/22

Next Review Date: 12/6/21



Town of Medway

Remote Participation Request

I, _____ (print name), hereby request to participate remotely at the meeting of the _____ (Board/Committee/Commission) to be held on _____ (date). I certify to the Chair that my absence is the result of one or more of the following factors which make my physical presence unreasonably difficult:

_____ (1) Personal Illness or Disability _____ (2) A Family or Other Emergency
_____ (3) Military Service _____ (4) Geographic Distance (Employment / Board Business)

Explanation: _____

During the meeting, I will be at the following location:

Address Phone Number

Signature of Member Date

Please sign and return to Chair

Request received by _____
Chair (please print) Date

Method of Participation _____ (e.g. speakerphone)

Request Approved _____ Request Denied* _____

Signature of Chair Date

Signed form to be appended to the meeting minutes.
***All Denied Requests are Final and Not Appealable.**

Susan Affleck-Childs

From: Susan Affleck-Childs
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 9:12 AM
To: David Corbett
Subject: RE: Master Plan Community Survey

Hi Dave,

Thanks for your note and for taking the survey. I couldn't agree with you more about how much the community wants Route 109 to be revitalized.

Please check out this additional community participation opportunity at the Master Plan project web site. It is an online crowd mapping activity for people to provide comments and ideas regarding specific locations in town. <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/bb0abaabfcc240eba48a8f560c00bed1> I hope you will give it a try.

I will also share your email with our consultants and members of the Master Plan Committee. By the way, the next Master Plan Committee meeting is Monday, July 26 at 6:30 pm at the Medway Middle School.

Best regards,

Susy

Susan E. Affleck-Childs
Planning and Economic Development Coordinator



Town of Medway
155 Village Street
Medway, MA 02053
508-533-3291
sachilds@townofmedway.org

From: David Corbett [mailto:djcorbett@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 8:30 AM
To: Susan Affleck-Childs <sachilds@townofmedway.org>
Subject: Re: Master Plan Community Survey

Hi Susan

Thanks for your organization. I participated in an early online meeting soliciting questions to a small group about the Master Plan. Some of those responses seemed to form questions on this survey.

I recall the #1 theme from that meeting being the unhappiness with Medway's 109. It's old, rundown, a mish-mash of stores, and cheap looking. People want a quaint downtown with natural beauty and well-designed retail that better reflects Medway as a community. I think the response on this was very strong - and NOT reflected adequately in the survey monkey survey. I'm concerned that while the survey has opportunity for

comments, it doesn't have a section to speak about a downtown area or improvements to the appearance and makeup of retail on main street.

Now - how that could be accomplished? Not sure - if the town could buy areas of 109 and re-sell it to designers willing to improve the space.

Thanks for receiving my feedback

Dave Corbett

On Mon, Jul 12, 2021 at 2:10 PM Susan Affleck-Childs <sachilds@townofmedway.org> wrote:

Greetings!

I am writing to let you know that the Master Plan Community Survey is ready and available to be completed.

See attached flyer with the link to the online survey via Survey Monkey.

We encourage you to complete the survey AND to forward the flyer to Medway family, friends and neighbors with your own note to invite them to also take the survey.

Thanks for your help and assistance to help spread the word. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

And make sure to check out the Master Plan Project web page for additional information. <https://medway-master-plan-jmgoldson.hub.arcgis.com/>

Cheers!

Susy

Susan E. Affleck-Childs

Planning and Economic Development Coordinator



Town of Medway

155 Village Street

Medway, MA 02053

508-533-3291

sachilds@townofmedway.org